

# Summary – Responses – Espoo procedure

# Content

Responses – The Nederland	2
. Ministery of Infrastructure and Watermanagement	
Responses – Germany	
Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie (BSH)	
Bundesamt für Naturschutz (BfN)	
Bundesamt für Infrastruktur Umweltschutz und Dienstleistungen der Bundeswehr	3
Ministerium für Energiewende, Klimaschutz, Umwelt und Natur des Landes Schleswig-Holstein	3

#### Page 1/3



No.	Response/statement	Answer form Wintershall Noordzee B.V	Comments from
Mini	stery of Infrastructure and Watermanagement		
1	Ministery of Infrastructure and Watermanagement (Espoo point of contact) don't have any remarks on the consultation regarding the "Decommissioning of the Ravn field".	-	-

Res	ponses – Germany		
No.	Response/statement	Answer form Wintershall Noordzee B.V	Comments from th

#### Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie (BSH)

1	From the point of view of the Federal Maritime and Hydrographic Agency (BSH) with regard	-	The Danish Energy
	to spatial planning, the described activities of the project "Decommissioning of Ravn Field		
	" are located in the designated areas for oil and gas exploration of the Danish Maritime		
	Spatial Plan. The provisions of the Danish plan are in principle in line with the provisions of		
	the maritime spatial plan for the German EEZ in the North Sea.		
	Concrete statements and information about the compatibility of the project with maritime		
	shipping and the marine protected area Doggerbank in the German EEZ can only be		
	obtained from the competent German public authorities for shipping and nature protection.		

### Bundesamt für Naturschutz (BfN)

Γ	2 Assessment of possible cross-border impacts due to platform dismantling	Wintershall understands the importance of collecting information on	The Danish Energy
	activities	underwater noise for future (decommissioning) projects. Nevertheless,	noise emitted durin
	The Ravn platform is located 15 kilometres from the border with the German EEZ and the	Wintershall is of the opinion that the underwater noise levels that could	any significant imp
	Dogger Bank FFH area (DE 1003-301), which has been designated as a nature reserve. The	potentially be measured will be of limited use for future decommissioning	It is especially the
	platform will be completely dismantled in accordance with international regulations	projects due to the following reasons:	broadband and co
	(OSPAR) and the boreholes sealed. Impacts from underwater noise (cutting work,	In the German EEZ only two offshore oil/gas platforms are present	significant impact o
	continuous noise) on marine mammals as well as land use or amendments to the FFH-LRT	being A6-A and Mittelplate. Mittelplate is situated in the	The Danish Energy
	1110 "Sublittoral Sandbanks" (simultaneously a section 30 biotope) and sedimentation are	Elbe delta, lies very close to shore and is not built on a jacket.	of underwater noise
	to be classified as local, small-scale and generally temporary in terms of intensity and	Offshore wind will become an important decommissioning industry in	
	distance. Significant adverse effects on the "Doggerbank" Nature Reserve (also an FFH	future, but Wintershall is of the opinion that the applied	The Danish Energy
	area) due to the dismantling of the platform are not to be feared due to the distance and	decommissioning techniques for the offshore wind farms, and hence	further comments.
	avoidance of noise-intensive work (impulse noise).	the profile of the related underwater noise, are not comparable with	
		the decommissioning techniques applied for offshore oil/gas assets.	
	To date, the BfN has only been aware of noise measurements carried out in the course of	The vast majority of the noise created during the decommissioning	
	the B4-5 and B11-4 exploration wells for Wintershall [Dea]. Annex 14 (section 5) states	activities will come from cutting the platform piles (abrasive water jet	
	that no measurements of underwater noise have been carried out or are reliably known for	cutting). The well conductors are already cut. Noise is present for a	
	certain activities of relevance to dismantling. The topic of dismantling offshore facilities will	very limited time only. The cutting is expected to take place in the	
	become very important in the future. It is therefore suggested that noise measurements	magnitude of hours when cutting the platform piles.	
	(and possibly monitoring of marine mammals) during dismantling – especially when cutting		

#### m the Danish Energy Agency

n the Danish Energy Agency

y Agency has noted the comments on this topic.

y Agency has evaluated the characteristics of the ring the work and does not find that it will have pact, which negates the necessity of monitoring. a fact that the noise emitted is of low-frequency, ontinuous character, that ensures no potential on the environment can occur.

y Agency does therefore not require monitoring se emission during the decommissioning project.

y Agency has noted the comments and has no



	drill pines platform legs and pipelines - should be carried out and the said measurements	• There is no metal-to-metal contact when cutting the niles and the	Т
	<ul> <li>drill pipes, platform legs and pipelines – should be carried out and the said measurements made available to the informed public in an appropriate form.</li> <li>Assessment of possible cross-border impacts due to the dismantling of pipelines and cables In the case of all dismantling methods described above, it can be assumed as a rule that there will be no or at most small-scale and temporary cross-border impacts, particularly for the Habitats Directive habitat type 1110 "Sublittoral sandbanks" (simultaneously a section 30 biotope). In choosing the dismantling method, the BfN believes that long-term positive effects due to the permanent restoration of the original sediment conditions without anthropogenic structures should be weighed against short-term adverse effects due to work in the sediment and accordingly assigned a special weighting.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>There is no metal-to-metal contact when cutting the piles and the noise is expected to be continuous (low frequency). Pulsive noise is not generated during the operations.</li> <li>Cutting takes place 3 m below seabed resulting into limited transfer of noise into the water column.</li> <li>According to the EIA, noise levels are not expected to exceed the threshold for triggering permanent hearing damage of cetaceans (harbour porpoises, minke whale or white beaked dolphin) or seals. Fish may flee from noisy areas, but this will not affect fish populations.</li> <li>When the piles are cut, the loose parts are lifted out of the seabed. No vibrations are applied which is probably in contrast to the removal method applied on large diameter subsea structure of a wind mill.</li> <li>Furthermore, deploying and retrieving noise measurement equipment with a vessel will introduce additional noise and creates additional emissions, where the added value of such measurement is</li> </ul>	
	The BfN requests further information and involvement in the further procedure.	questionable.	
		Prior to determining the pipeline decommissioning scope, Wintershall performed an Comparative Assessment (CA) where the short- and long term effects of several decommissioning scenarios are evaluated. A study was also performed by ARSU (Arbeitsgruppe für regionale Struktur-und Umweltforschung GmbH) to evaluate the environmental impact for several removal scenarios. This study was used when performing the CA. In the CA also other impacts are evaluated (safety, social, technical and commercial). As stated in the HSEQ Policy of Wintershall Noordzee, Health, Safety and Environment has the utmost priority in all operations carried out by the company. This policy justifies high weighting for Safety (35%) and Environment (30%) in the overall evaluation of the CA which ensures that safety and the environment make the most important contribution to the final assessment. The overall evaluation resulted in a recommendation for leaving the pipeline in situ which has the least overall impact. The results of the CA are summarized in the Environmental Impact Assessment.	
Bung	desamt für Infrastruktur Umweltschutz und Dienstleistungen o	der Bundeswehr	
3	There are no objections from the Bundeswehr.	-	-

## Ministerium für Energiewende, Klimaschutz, Umwelt und Natur des Landes Schleswig-Holstein

4	Due to the large distance of the project from coastal waters of Schleswig-Holstein, impairments can be excluded.	-	-
