



Co-funded by the Horizon 2020 programme
of the European Union



ODYSSEE-MURE

Combined Indicator and Policy Scoreboard in ODYSSEE-MURE Comments on Country Position Denmark

Countries

- Denmark

Denmark Combined EE Scoreboard: Summary

DENMARK - SUMMARY

		Level	Trend	Policies	Combined
Overall	Denmark	11 / 29	22 / 29	8 / 29	13 / 29
	Highest score (benchmark)	Spain	Slovakia	Bulgaria	Bulgaria
Industry	Denmark	1 / 28	19 / 28	3 / 29	5 / 29
	Highest score (benchmark)	Denmark	Poland	Bulgaria	Poland
Transport	Denmark	24 / 29	14 / 29	12 / 29	15 / 29
	Highest score (benchmark)	Italy	UK	Bulgaria	France
Households	Denmark	12 / 29	24 / 29	10 / 29	15 / 29
	Highest score (benchmark)	Netherlands	Spain	Bulgaria	Ireland
Services	Denmark	14 / 29	14 / 29	14 / 29	14 / 29
	Highest score (benchmark)	Portugal	Hungary	Germany	Germany

- Why are EE trends in Denmark quite low?

Details on the components: Trend

INDICATOR SCOREBOARD TOOL

RANKING

Sector:

Households

HOUSEHOLDS - TREND

The graph shows the countries' ranking for households. The sector score is calculated by weighting the scores of indicators of trend. By clicking on "See details" it is possible to see the ranking by indicator.


Type:

Trend

[Methodology](#)

[Link to Positioning](#)

[Home](#)



Detailed ranking


The numbers in the table correspond to the indicator rankings among all countries; where 1 shows the 3 top ranking countries and so on. All countries are listed for detailed ranking.

	Heating per m2 trend	Other thermal uses trend	Appliances (incl. AC and lighting) trend
25/ Denmark	22	15	9

SEE DETAILS

EXPORT INDICATORS

Denmark: Policy Scoreboard



- Good position in policy scoreboard... but

Denmark: IN-DK5 and CC-DK10

Quantitative Evaluation Check

This tool helps to check whether the quantitative savings allocation to a measure and for a given year exceeds a certain threshold of the sector consumption.

Select the percentage of threshold

10% ▾

Country	Code	
Finland	FIN30	PJ 3
Malta	MAL10	PJ 2
Malta	MAL10	PJ 3

Country	Code	
Ireland	39	PJ 3
Romania	9	PJ 3

- Two measures with extremely high impact, to be verified
- Otherwise relatively few measures with quantitative impact evaluation

Industry						
Country	Code	Step	Year	Saving	Total sector consumption	Savings/Sector total (%)
Denmark	DK5	PJ 1	2014	16	102,60	15,59
Denmark	DK5	PJ 2	2016	16	102,60	15,59
Denmark	DK5	PJ 3	2018	16	102,60	15,59
Denmark	DK5	PJ 4	2020	16	102,60	15,59
Romania	RO12	PJ 5	2020	40,95	310,09	13,21
Spain	SPA20	PJ 1	2016	97,64	900,63	10,84
Spain	SPA20	PJ 2	2020	173,92	900,63	19,31

Transport						
Country	Code	Step	Year	Saving	Total sector consumption	Savings/Sector total (%)

General Cross Cutting						
Country	Code	Step	Year	Saving	Total sector consumption	Savings/Sector total (%)
Denmark	DK10	PJ 1	2016	86,51	618,14	14,00
Denmark	DK10	PJ 2	2015	78,2	618,14	12,65
Denmark	DK10	PJ 3	2020	75,61	618,14	12,23

Denmark: CC-DK10

General Cross-Cutting - Measure Detail								
Measure Code		GEN-DK10						
Country		Denmark						
Title		Danish Energy Agreement 2012						
Reference		http://www.ens.dk/politik/dansk-klima-energipolitik						
Status	Issuing Date	Starting Date	Ending Date	Semi-quantitative Impact	European Measure	NEEAP Measure (1,2,3)	Article 7	Impact Evaluation
Ongoing	0/2012	2012	2020	High	No	No	No	No
Financing		€ 0 from to						
Types		1) General Energy Efficiency / Climate Change / Renewable Programmes - General energy efficiency programme; 2) General Energy Efficiency / Climate Change / Renewable Programmes - General climate change programme; 3) General Energy Efficiency / Climate Change / Renewable Programmes - General renewables programme						
Targeted End Use		Total final consumption						
View quantitative evaluation impact								
View Detailed Measure Description								

CLOSE ME

Mure II General Cross-Cutting - Targeted end use detail

Targeted End Use	Type of impact evaluation	Impacts: saving determined with respect to	Starting impact year	Evaluation method	Comments
Total final consumption	Ex-post	Year: 2016 2015 2020 Compare: Fixed year Fixed year Fixed year PJ: 86.51 78.2 75.61 CO2: 0 0 0	1990		Based on the Danish energy forecast from 2012

Denmark: Questions

- Why are EE trends in Denmark quite low?
- Why are there two Danish measures with extremely high impacts (IN-DK5 and CC-DK10)? Please check these measures very carefully
- Why are there so little quantitative impact evaluations in Denmark?