

STATEMENT OF FEASIBILITY

Statement No.:
C-DNVGL-SE-0190-07164-0

Issued
2021-02-26

Issued for:

Concept

of

Thor Offshore Wind Farm

Comprising:

Wind Turbines

Specified in Annex 1

Issued to:

Energinet Eltransmission A/S

Tonne Kjærsvvej 65
7000 Fredericia
Danmark

According to:

DNVGL-SE-0190:2020-09

Project certification of wind power plants

Based on the documents:

CR-C-DNVGL-SE-0190-07164-0

Certification Report, dated 2021-02-26

Hamburg, 2021-02-26

For DNV GL Renewables Certification

Fabio Pollicino
Director and Service Line Leader for Project
Certification



By DAkKS according DIN EN IEC/ISO 17065
accredited Certification Body for products. The
accreditation is valid for the fields of certification
listed in the certificate.

Hellerup, 2021-02-26

For DNV GL Renewables Certification

Iris Pernille Lohmann
Project Manager
Principal Engineer

STATEMENT OF FEASIBILITY – ANNEX 1

Statement No.: C-DNVGL-SE-0190-07164-0

Page 2 of 3

Wind power plant area

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Coordinate system and datum | ETRS89 UTM32N M | |
| | East | North |
| Corner coordinates of the wind farm | 399264 | 6232328 |
| | 402011 | 6236670 |
| | 425649 | 6264590 |
| | 425945 | 6258540 |
| | 425702 | 6253830 |
| | 425266 | 6247230 |
| | 425636 | 6240830 |
| | 426100 | 6233490 |
| | 425953 | 6232328 |

Wind conditions – Normal

| | |
|--|------------------------|
| Annual average wind speed (at proposed hub height 140.0 m MSL) | 10.50 m/s |
| Weibull A-parameter | 11.85 m/s |
| Weibull k-parameter | 2.3 |
| Wind shear for extrapolation in the interval [114;164] m MSL | 0.06 |
| Wind shear for load calculation | 0.09 |
| Ambient turbulence / characteristic turbulence at 15 m/s (NTM) | 5.0% / 6.9% |
| Air density | 1.23 kg/m ³ |

Wind conditions – Extreme

| | |
|---|------------------------|
| Wind speed 50-year recurrence, 10 min. (at proposed hub height) | 47.0 m/s |
| Wind shear for EWM | 0.11 |
| Turbulence intensity for use with EWM | 11% |
| Air density | 1.21 kg/m ³ |

Marine conditions

| | |
|--|------------|
| Highest astronomical tide (HAT) | +0.6 m MSL |
| Lowest astronomical tide (LAT) | -0.6 m MSL |
| Significant wave height for 50-year recurrence period, $H_{m0,50-yr}$ | 9.7 m |
| Significant wave height for 1-year recurrence period, $H_{m0,1-yr}$ | 7.1 m |
| Peak wave period $T_{p,50\%}$ for extreme for 50-year recurrence wave $H_{m0,50-yr}$ | 14.9 s |
| Peak wave period $T_{p,50\%}$ for extreme for 1-year recurrence wave $H_{m0,1-yr}$ | 12.8 s |
| Extreme deterministic wave height for 50-year recurrence period, $H_{max,50-yr}$ | 18.3 m |
| Extreme deterministic wave height for 1-year recurrence period, $H_{max,1-yr}$ | 12.8 m |
| Extreme wave crest elevation* for 50-year recurrence period | 13.8 m MSL |
| Extreme wave crest elevation* for 1-year recurrence period | 9.2 m MSL |
| Extreme high-water level* for 50-year recurrence period | 2.2 m MSL |
| Extreme high-water level* for 1-year recurrence period | 1.6 m MSL |
| Extreme depth averaged current speed for 50-year recurrence period | 0.9 m/s |
| Extreme depth averaged current speed for 1-year recurrence period | 0.7 m/s |

* excluding sea level rise and vertical land movement

STATEMENT OF FEASIBILITY – ANNEX 1

Statement No.: C-DNVGL-SE-0190-07164-0

Page 3 of 3

Summary of scope of work

The table below lists the work packages of the concept evaluation and indicates if these work packages have been

| | |
|---|--------------|
| - verified by DNV GL (verified) | |
| - same as or covered by a certificate / statement this assessment is based on (as certified) | |
| - taken as given without verification by DNV GL (as given by customer) | |
| - not included in this assessment (not included) | |
| - not present in this case (none). | |
| 1) site wind and other environmental conditions, mean/extreme wind speed | Verified |
| 2) water depth, currents and mean/extreme sea state, if applicable | Verified |
| 3) reliability of the sources of item 1. and 2. | Verified |
| 4) grid connection possibilities resp. distance to main consumers, local rules of authorities | Not included |
| 5) logistic accessibility for large components and human resources | Not included |
| 6) general soil conditions, depth of effective foundation level below (soil or water) surface | Not included |
| 7) general foundation type (on- or offshore, if offshore: fixed or floating) | Not included |
| 8) corrosion protection strategy/corrosion control concept | Not included |
| 9) general plant layout | Not included |
| 10) size, type and number of wind turbines and their distances to each other | Not included |
| 11) concept of substation with respect to structural, safety and electrical design | Not included |
| 12) control of wind power plant | Not included |
| 13) homogeneity of lifecycle concept of wind power plant, i.e. trade-off between dimensioning of components and maintenance/repair frequency, if applicable | Not included |
| 14) standards to be applied for design and their interfaces. Advantages and disadvantages of different standard series and their holistic concept (i.e. fit of the design standard to the planned manufacturing standard) | Not included |
| 15) risk analyses for different possible design approaches for the components of the wind power plant. Trade-off between high risk approach and its possible gains/losses as compared to conventional design | Not included |
| 16) reviewing extent, contents and time horizon of test series required for newly innovated design parts or components. | Not included |